

# Recent advances in unsupervised learning: fundamental limits and efficient algorithms.

## Lecture ①

### Today

- (i) Some examples.
- (ii) The statistical questions.
- (iii) Information theoretic lower bounds.

### Example ① (Community detection)

Data:  $G = ([n], E)$

Model:  $\sigma = (\sigma_i)_{i=1}^n$ ,  $\sigma_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \begin{cases} +1 & \text{wp } 1/2 \\ -1 & \text{wp } 1/2 \end{cases}$

Fix  $p_n, q_n \in [0, 1]$

(SBM)

$$\mathbb{P}[\{i, j\} \in E | \sigma] = \begin{cases} p_n & \text{if } \sigma_i = \sigma_j \\ q_n & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

If  $p_n \geq q_n$  - assortative  
 $q_n > p_n$  - disassortative

Target: Recover the latent community structure  $\sigma$  from the graph  $G$ .

### Comments:

(i) History - 80's - Holland, Laskey, Leinhardt. (social sciences)  
90's - planted partition model (Computer science)

(ii) Challenging regime -  $p_n = a/n$ ,  $q_n = b/n$ ,  $a \geq b$ . (constant ind. of  $n$ )

### Example ② ( $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -synchronization)

Data:  $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ,  $M = M^T$

Model:  $\sigma = (\sigma_i) \in \{\pm 1\}^n$ ,  $\sigma_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \begin{cases} +1 & \text{wp } 1/2 \\ -1 & \end{cases}$

$$M = \frac{\lambda}{n} \sigma \sigma^T + W$$

$W = (W_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ,  $W = W^T$ ,  $W_{ij} \sim \begin{cases} N(0, 1/n) & \text{if } i < j \\ N(0, 2/n) & \text{if } i = j \end{cases}$

Target: Recover  $\sigma$  from the matrix  $M$ .

Comments

(i) Normalization:  $W_{ij} \sim \begin{cases} N(0, 1/n) & \text{if } i < j \\ N(0, 2/n) & \text{if } i = j \end{cases} \Rightarrow \|W\|_2 = O(1)$ .

$\|\frac{1}{n} \sigma \sigma^T\|_2 = 1$ . Normalization ensures that signal & noise are on the same level.

(ii) Motivation:

a) PCA - Recover a low rank signal under additive noise.

b) Group synchronization problem:

$\mathcal{G}$ -group (eg  $SO(3)$ )  $\sigma_i \in \mathcal{G}$ .

Data:  $\sigma_i^{-1} \sigma_j$  observed under noise.

Recover the latent rotations  $\sigma_i$  (upto a global rotation  $\sigma \in \mathcal{G}$ )

(iii) Connections with community detection

$A$  - adjacency matrix of SBM.  $G \sim G(n, a/n, b/n)$

$$A = A - \mathbb{E}A + \mathbb{E}A \quad \mathbb{E}A = \begin{bmatrix} a/n & b/n \\ - & a/n \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A - \frac{d}{n} \mathbb{1}\mathbb{1}^T = \frac{a-b}{n} \sigma \sigma^T + A^{cen}$$

$$A^{cen} = A - \mathbb{E}A \quad \mathbb{E}[A_{ij}^{cen}] = 0$$

$$\frac{A - \frac{d}{n} \mathbb{1}\mathbb{1}^T}{\sqrt{2(a+b)}} \cong \frac{\lambda}{n} \sigma \sigma^T + M^{cen}$$

$$\lambda = \frac{a-b}{\sqrt{2(a+b)}}$$

$$d = \frac{a+b}{2}$$

$$\mathbb{E}[(A_{ij}^{cen})^2] = \frac{a}{2n} (1 - \frac{a}{n}) + \frac{b}{2n} (1 - \frac{b}{n}) + \dots$$

$$\boxed{\text{Var}^{\mathbb{E}}(A_{ij} | \sigma_i \sigma_j = 1) = a/n}$$

→ Similar to  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -synchronization.

Example (3) (Community detection with side information)

Data:  $G = ([n], E)$ ,  $b_i \in \mathbb{R}^p$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

Model:  $\sigma = (\sigma_i)$ ,  $\sigma_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \begin{cases} +1 & \text{w.p. } 1/2 \\ -1 & \end{cases}$   $\mathbb{P}[A_{ij} = 1 | \sigma] = \begin{cases} a/n & \text{if } \sigma_i = \sigma_j \\ b/n & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$

$u \in \mathbb{R}^p$ ,  $\mu > 0$ ,  $b_i = \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{n}} \sigma_i u + \frac{z_i}{\sqrt{p}}$   $u \sim N(0, I_{p/p})$   $z_i \sim N(0, I_p)$   $\left. \begin{array}{l} \\ \end{array} \right\} \text{ind.}$

Asymptotic regime:  $a, b = o(1)$ ,  $b/n \rightarrow 1/\gamma \in (0, \infty)$ .

Comments: (i) Additional covariates at each node. How to combine with graph info to perform signal recovery?

Statistical questions:

(i) Detection: Can we detect the presence of the signal?

(ii) Recovery: " " recover the hidden signal?

(iii) Optimal recovery: How to achieve "optimal" recovery?

[Additionally: can the above questions be solved 'efficiently' (eg in polynomial time)?]

(i) Detection.  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -synchronization:  $M = \frac{\lambda}{n} \sigma \sigma^T + W$ .  
 $H_0: \lambda = 0$  vs.  $H_1: \lambda > 0$ .

Defn: (Consistent detection) We say that consistent detection is possible if  $\exists \{T_n: n \geq 1\}$  s.t.  $\mathbb{E}_{H_0}(T_n) + \mathbb{E}_{H_1}(1 - T_n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Q: For which  $\lambda > 0$  is consistent detection possible?

(ii) Recovery:

Q. Can we estimate the underlying signal?

Ex: Community detection  $G \sim G(n, a/n, b/n)$

Fact: If  $a, b = O(1)$ ,  $G$  contains  $O(n)$  isolated vertices.

For isolated vertices, can only do random guessing!

Defn (Weak recovery) We say weak recovery is possible if  $\exists \hat{\sigma}$  s.t.  $\frac{1}{n} |\langle \hat{\sigma}, \sigma \rangle| \geq \epsilon$  whp.

(Intuition: Can we guess better than random?)

Comment:

(i) Other notions of recovery are possible eg exact recovery, almost exact recovery etc.

(iii) Optimal Recovery

All our examples are Bayesian problems. Given a loss function (eg squared loss), one studies the performance of the Bayes optimal estimator.

→ This is often computationally intractable!

Q. Can we design computationally efficient, Bayes optimal procedures?

# Information theoretic lower bounds for detection.

Defn (Contiguity) Let  $P_n$  &  $Q_n$  be probability distributions on  $(X_n, \mathcal{F}_n)$ . The sequence  $\{Q_n: n \geq 1\}$  is contiguous to  $\{P_n: n \geq 1\}$  if  $P_n(E_n) \rightarrow 0$  implies  $Q_n(E_n) \rightarrow 0 \forall \{E_n: n \geq 1\} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_n$ .

NB: If  $\{Q_n: n \geq 1\}$  is contiguous to  $\{P_n: n \geq 1\}$  &  $\{P_n: n \geq 1\}$  is contiguous to  $\{Q_n: n \geq 1\}$ , we say that  $\{P_n: n \geq 1\}$  &  $\{Q_n: n \geq 1\}$  are mutually contiguous.

Lemma If  $\{Q_n: n \geq 1\}$  is contiguous to  $\{P_n: n \geq 1\}$ , then consistent detection is impossible.

Q. How to establish contiguity?

Lemma If  $E_{P_n} \left[ \left( \frac{dQ_n}{dP_n} \right)^2 \right]$  exists & remains bounded as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $\{Q_n: n \geq 1\}$  is contiguous to  $\{P_n: n \geq 1\}$ .

Thm (Detection threshold for  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -synchronization)  
 Let  $M = \frac{\lambda}{n} \sigma \sigma^T + W$ .  $P_n = H_0$ ,  $Q_n \sim P_\lambda$ .

If  $\lambda < 1$ , then  $\{Q_n: n \geq 1\}$  is contiguous to  $\{P_n: n \geq 1\}$ .

Proof: 
$$\frac{dQ_n}{dP_n} = \frac{\sum_{i < j} \left( \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right)^{\binom{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{n}{2} \sum_{i < j} (M_{ij} - \frac{\lambda}{n} v_i v_j)^2}}{\left( \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right)^{\binom{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{n}{2} \sum_{i < j} M_{ij}^2}} = \frac{\sum_{v \in \{\pm 1\}^n} e^{-\frac{n}{2} \sum_{i < j} M_{ij}^2 - \frac{n}{2} \frac{\lambda^2}{n^2} \sum_{i < j} v_i^2 v_j^2 + \lambda \sum_{i < j} M_{ij} v_i v_j}}{e^{-\frac{n}{2} \sum_{i < j} M_{ij}^2}}$$

$$\frac{dQ_n}{dP_n} = \sum_{v \in \{\pm 1\}^n} e^{\lambda \sum_{i < j} M_{ij} v_i v_j - \frac{\lambda^2}{2n} \frac{n(n-1)}{2}}$$

Thm  $M = \frac{\lambda}{n} \sigma \sigma^T + W.$

If  $\lambda < 1$ , consistent detection is impossible.

Pf: Let  $P_n \sim H_0$  &  $Q_n \sim P_\lambda.$

$$\frac{dQ_n}{dP_n} = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{v \in \{\pm 1\}^n} \frac{e^{-\frac{n}{4} \|M - \frac{\lambda}{n} v v^T\|_F^2}}{e^{-\frac{n}{4} \|M\|_F^2}}.$$

$$\|M - \frac{\lambda}{n} v v^T\|_F^2 = \|M\|_F^2 + \frac{\lambda^2}{n^2} \|v v^T\|_F^2 - \frac{2\lambda}{n} \langle M, v v^T \rangle$$

$$\|v v^T\|_F^2 = \langle v v^T, v v^T \rangle = n^2$$

$$\frac{dQ_n}{dP_n} = \mathbb{E}_v \left[ e^{\frac{\lambda}{2} \langle M, v v^T \rangle - \frac{\lambda^2 n}{4}} \right]$$

$$\left( \frac{dQ_n}{dP_n} \right)^2 = \mathbb{E}_{v^1, v^2} \left[ e^{\frac{\lambda}{2} \langle M, v^1 (v^1)^T + v^2 (v^2)^T \rangle - \frac{\lambda^2 n}{2}} \right]$$

$$\mathbb{E}_{P_n} \left[ \left( \frac{dQ_n}{dP_n} \right)^2 \right] = \mathbb{E}_{v^1, v^2} \left[ e^{-\frac{\lambda^2 n}{2}} \mathbb{E}_{P_n} \left[ e^{\frac{\lambda}{2} \langle M, v^1 (v^1)^T + v^2 (v^2)^T \rangle} \right] \right]$$

$$\mathbb{E}_{P_n} \left[ e^{c \langle M, X \rangle} \right] = \mathbb{E}_{P_n} \left[ e^{2c \sum_{i,j} M_{ij} X_{ij} + c \sum_{i=1}^n M_{ii} X_{ii}} \right]$$

$$= e^{\frac{2c^2}{n} \sum_{ij} X_{ij}^2} + \frac{2c^2}{n} \sum_i X_{ii}^2 = e^{c^2/n \|X\|_F^2}$$

$$\mathbb{E}_{P_n} \left[ e^{\frac{\lambda}{2} \langle M, v^1(v^1)^T + v^2(v^2)^T \rangle} \right] = e^{\frac{\lambda^2}{4n} \|v^1(v^1)^T + v^2(v^2)^T\|_F^2} = \star$$

$$\|v^1(v^1)^T + v^2(v^2)^T\|_F^2 = 2n^2 + 2(\langle v^1, v^2 \rangle)^2$$

$$\star = e^{\frac{\lambda^2 n}{2} + \frac{\lambda^2}{2n} \langle v^1, v^2 \rangle^2}$$

$$\therefore \mathbb{E}_{P_n} \left[ \left( \frac{dQ_n}{dP_n} \right)^2 \right] = \mathbb{E}_{v^1, v^2} \left[ e^{\frac{\lambda^2 n}{2} \left( \frac{1}{n} \langle v^1, v^2 \rangle \right)^2} \right]$$

To prove: If  $\lambda < 1$ ,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{v^1, v^2} \left[ e^{\frac{\lambda^2 n}{2} \left( \frac{1}{n} \langle v^1, v^2 \rangle \right)^2} \right] \leq M < \infty$$

for some  $M$ .